

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,284	02/19/2002	Hideo Ando	219507US2S DIV	2763	
22850	7590 01/16/2003				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
ADDAHADA	171, 771 22317				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		
				DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

Application No.   Application   AnDO ET AL.				10				
## Examiner ## HUVT NGUVEN 2615  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Entereors of time may be analytic under the positione of 3 C FR 1.35(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled  - If 100 period for reply is positional above, the nearlinum stabulary period will apply and vide equits Sk (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, then the process the time the remaining after of the communication, even it through one of the communication.  - If 100 period for reply is positional above, the nearlinum stabulary period will apply and vide equits Sk (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it through \$1.00 to \$1.00 period for reply is positional above, the nearlinum stabulary period will apply and vide equits Sk (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Am reply received by the difficult than there members after the mailing date of this communication, even it through \$1.00 to	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- V				
## HUY T NGUYEN  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address →  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM  THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period of reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period of reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered timely.  If the period of reply specified above is less than thirty (90) days, and the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered intelligent to reply and the statutory minimum of thirty (80) days will be considered intelligent the statutory reply reply and we depti should be similarly filled.		10/076,284	ANDO ET AL.					
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estambation of time my be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a righy be timely filled in the provision of t	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication.  - if the period for mply specified above, the mailing date of this communication, the specified above, the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even it limits (16.2 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even under the season of the mailing date of this communication.								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatheristor of time may be available under the provision of 30°CR1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filled Eatheristor of time may be available under the provision of 30°CR1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filled  If the period for reply separate under the control of the period for ruply available on the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply separate down, the maximum statutory provided upply and will expire two plays and will reply the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply separate the mailing date of the communication, even if timely flad, may reduce any seamed platent time adjustment. See 37°CR1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 April 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  3) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are allowed.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) Copies of the certified copies of the prio								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/e, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) a. cause the application to become	ay a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timel  MONTHS from the mailing date of this cone  ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133).	ly. xommunication.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u> April 2002</u> .						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 16-20 is/are pending in the application	on.						
6)  Claim(s) 16-20 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.   If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).   a) All b) Some *c) None of:   1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348.267.   3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a   accepted or b   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a   approved b   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a   All   b   Some * c   None of:  1   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)   The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 ☐ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		r election requirement.						
10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.	· _ ·							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			•					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  40 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  41 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<u> </u>	•						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	•	arriirier.						
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		n priority under 25 LLC	C					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/348,267.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		r priority under 35 U.S.	.C. 9 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2.	<u> </u>	s have been received						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			in Anniinniinn N 00/040 00	7				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	l application).				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	e of Informal Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/076,284 Page 2

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 28-31 have been renumbered as claims 16-20.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

## **Double Patenting**

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 2615

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 4. Claims 16-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,353,702. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 16-20 of present application and claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,353,702 is that claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,353,702 additional recite a still picture information file information table that not fond in clams 16-20 of the present application. However, it is noted that eliminating a part of a device is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminating still information AV table from claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,353,702 to produce claims 16-20 of the present application.
- 5. Claims 16-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claim s 16-20 and claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 is that claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 additionally recite a still picture information file information table and temporary erase information that not found in claims 16-20 of the present application. However, it is noted that

Art Unit: 2615

eliminating a part of a device is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminating still information AV table and temporary erase information from claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No.6,389,222 to produce claims 16-20 of the present application.

6. Claims 16-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,285. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 16-20 of the present application and claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,285 is that claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,285 additional recite the information indicating the time that the still video object are recorded However, it is noted that eliminating a part of a device is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminating the information indicating the time that video objects are recorded from claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,285 to produce claims 16-20 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

7. Claims 16-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,844. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Art Unit: 2615

they are not patentably distinct from each other because the difference between claims 16-20 of the present application and claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,844 is that claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,844 additionally recite the entry information for start and end still video objects However, it is noted that eliminating a part of a device is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to eliminating the information the entry information for start and end still video objects from claims 28-31 of copending Application No. 10/076,844 to produce claims 16-20 of the present application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2615

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (6,242,499) in view of Saeki et al (6,253,026).

Regarding claims 16-20, Kim discloses an recording/ reproducing apparatus (Figs. 2, 3, column 2, 4,5 for recording video objects and control information on and from a optical disk. The control information comprises a search pointer for describes an address of a video group information. Kim fails to teaches information indicating a first still picture and a size for a picture. Saeki teaches and recording/ reproducing apparatus for recording control information with video object intimation, the control information indicating a first picture and a size for picture (fig. 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill I the art to modify Kim with Saeki by using the teaching of Saeki for providing the information indicating a first still picture and a size for a picture as control information of Kim thereby allowing accurately accessing and processing the picture information.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)

Art Unit: 2615

872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to 2600 TECH CENTER customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

HUZBETYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

H.N January 13, 2003